



Joseph M. Casino

PARTNER

jcasino@wiggin.com

New York: +1 212 551 2842

Joe's 25+ year career is highlighted by his work as lead counsel in hard-fought patent litigations throughout the US and in Patent Office proceedings. As the leader of Wiggin and Dana's Intellectual Property Practice Group, he advises clients on patent office disputes, complex licensing, patent monetization, patent portfolio development, and worldwide intellectual property strategic matters.

Joe's keen understanding of technology has made him a valuable resource for clients facing the most complex IP challenges. His experience includes hundreds of IP cases in a variety of high tech fields, including consumer tech, electronics, aerospace, computers, hybrid electric vehicles, semiconductors, smart phones, medical devices, and batteries. Examples include *Lufthansa Technik AG v. Astronics Advanced Electronic Systems Corp.*, Case No. 2:14-CV-1821 (W.D. Wash.), an avionics industry case where Joe led the patent team to a successful claim construction and summary judgment of patent indefiniteness, which was affirmed on appeal; and *Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson and Co.*, Case No. 3:05-CV 3117 (N.D. Calif.), a medical-device case where Joe's client obtained a successful summary judgment on one patent and a verdict of invalidity/inequitable conduct on a second patent, including an award of attorneys' fees, setting the current standards for inequitable conduct through an *en banc* appeal. Joe has also litigated the tough issue of patent eligibility successfully for patentees, e.g., *RideShare Displays, Inc. v. Lyft, Inc.*, Case No. 1:20-cv-01629-RGA-JLH (D.Del.) and for accused infringers, e.g., *Burnett v. Panasonic Corporation et al.*, 18-1234-CT (Fed. Cir.).

His knowledge spans all aspects of IP law, including litigation, licensing, opinions, and prosecution. His work has earned him industry recognition, being consistently named as one of the world's leading IP strategists by *IAM Strategy 300*, as well as *Super Lawyer* honors. Joe has been the lead negotiator for license and cross-license discussions involving some of the largest patent portfolios. Joe was appointed to the leadership position of Senior Vice President for the Licensing Executives Society standard-setting program for developing ANSI standards for best practices on a wide range of intellectual property issues.

Joe has authored dozens of articles and papers on IP and patent law. He has also lectured extensively on topics such as trade secrets, patent eligibility, inter parties review, patent exhaustion, drafting patent license agreements, damages and risk analysis, claim construction, and the doctrine of equivalents.

Joe received his J.D. *cum laude* from Brooklyn Law School, where he was an editor for the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* and received various academic awards. He earned a B.S. *cum laude* in computer science from Brooklyn College.

Joe has a long-standing interest in promoting diversity. He serves as the Chair of the Board of Directors for the Council for Unity, a unique charity that promotes diversity and conflict resolution through bringing together students of all cultures.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 1996)
 - cum laude
- Brooklyn College (B.S., 1991)
 - cum laude

Bar Admissions

- New York
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Court Admissions

- Registered to practice before US Patent and Trademark Office
- State of New York
- US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
- US District Court (District of Connecticut)
- US District Court (Eastern District of New York)
- US District Court (Southern District of New York)
- US Supreme Court

Memberships and Affiliations

- Litigation Counsel of America
 - Fellow
- New York Intellectual Property Association
- Federal Circuit Bar Association
- New York State Bar Association
- Council for Unity
- Licensing Executives Society

Publications

July 1, 2025

Maybe Obvious, Therefore Ineligible—Another Patent Vaporized Under Alice; An Analysis of Patentable Subject Matter Under P2i Ltd. v. Favored Tech. USA Corp., 2025 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 117967, at *3 (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2025)

June 30, 2025

Bartz v. Anthropic: First Court Decision on Fair Use Defense in LLM Training

May 27, 2025

Judges as Gatekeepers of Damages Evidence (Ecofactor, Inc. v. Google LLC, Case No. 2023-1101, Federal Circuit, en banc, May 21, 2025)

March 27, 2023

Partners Joseph Casino and Michael Kasdan Co-Author an Article in New York Law Journal About AI and IP Laws

Law.com

March 10, 2022

Partners Joseph Casino and Michael Kasdan Author a 2022 Update To Their Article “Patent Litigation: Mapping a Global Strategy”

February 17, 2022

Client Alert: California Institute of Technology v. Broadcom Limited

September 13, 2021

Partners Joseph Casino, Jonathan Harris and Michael Kasdan Named in 2021 By IAM Strategy 300 and Patent 1000 as World-Leading IP Strategists

April 12, 2021

Fair Use of Software APIs (Google LLC v. Oracle America, Inc., Case No. 18–956, U.S. Supreme Court)

October 21, 2020

Remembering a Blissful Summer for SEP Owners

IAM

September 15, 2020

A Guide to Trade Secret Protection without a Non-Compete

American Bar Association

August 24, 2020

The Department of Justice’s National Security Division Chief Addresses China’s Campaign to Steal U.S. Intellectual Property

August 7, 2020

Patent Litigation: Mapping a Global Strategy 2020 Update

July 2, 2020

In Booking.com Decision, the U.S. Supreme Court Holds Generic Website Names That Have Acquired Secondary Meaning May Be Registered as Trademarks

May 29, 2020

Where We Are In The US Trade Secret Crackdown On China

Law 360

May 1, 2020

Recent Supreme Court Decisions in IP

February 26, 2020

Recent Developments in Intellectual Property Law

Summer 2019

Wiggin and Dana Partners, Joseph Casino and Mike Kasdan, Co-Authored an Article Titled, "Patent Litigation: Mapping a Global Strategy," Published by Thomson Reuters and The Practical Law Company

Thomson Reuters and The Practical Law Company

March 4, 2019

Recent Developments in Intellectual Property Law Newsletter

March 12, 2018

Recent Developments in Intellectual Property Law

December 15, 2017

Intellectual Property Group Practice Update & Recent Happenings

September 19, 2017

Patent Litigation: Mapping a Global Strategy (2017 Update)

Practical Law US - Thomson Reuters

June 1, 2017

U.S. Supreme Court Broadens the Patent Exhaustion Doctrine in Impression Products v. Lexmark Int'l, Inc.

May 22, 2017

Supreme Court Drastically Limits Venue for Patent Cases

March 23, 2017

U.S. Supreme Court Eliminates Defense of Laches In Patent Infringement Cases

February 28, 2017

Extraterritoriality of United States Patent Laws: Limited Infringement Liability

January 24, 2017

The Year In Intellectual Property: A Look Back at 2016 and a Look Ahead to 2017

December 6, 2016

Supreme Court Decision Regarding Damages for Design Patent Infringement

August 9, 2016

What Courts Are Saying About Software Patents Post-Enfish

Law360

June 29, 2016

Busy Supreme Court Docket in Intellectual Property Highlighted by Cases on Enhanced Damages, Attorney's

Fees, Claim Construction Standard in IPRs, and Extraterritoriality

June 27, 2016

The Impact of the Brexit on Intellectual Property Rights in Europe

January 29, 2016

Intellectual Property 2015 Year In Review

September 14, 2015

The Changed Rules of the Game in the Patent Litigation Arena

TerraLex Connections

June 1, 2015

The Rules of the Game Have Changed in Patent Litigation

New York Law Journal, Complex Litigation Section

April 20, 2015

Patent Litigation Reform on the Horizon?

Connecticut Law Tribune

March 3, 2015

IEEE Clarifies Obligations When Licensing Standards-Essential Patents

March 3, 2015

Patent Exhaustion Update: The Federal Circuit Allows Royalties From Different Entities in Supply Chain

January 22, 2015

Supreme Court Changes Appellate Review Standard for Claim Construction

January 12, 2015

Intellectual Property 2014 Year in Review

December 17, 2014

In Ericsson v. D-Link The Federal Circuit Provides Guidance on Damages for Standard Essential Patents

September 30, 2014

Lessons from 2 Years of AIA Post-Grant Proceedings

Law360, New York

September 29, 2014

Trends from 2 Years of AIA Post-Grant Proceedings

Law360, New York

June 20, 2014

Abstract Ideas Require Something More than Implementation on Generic Computer to be Patent Eligible

June 13, 2014

Patent Portfolio Valuation: A Lawyer's Perspective

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

April 14, 2014

Licensing In the European Union: The European Commission Adopts New Regulations and Guidelines for

Applying EU Competition Law To Technology Transfer Agreements

November 6, 2013

Lessons from the 1st Year of Post-Grant Proceedings

Law360

December 1, 2012

Patent Litigation: Mapping a Global Strategy “Practice Note”

PracticalLaw.com

November 2, 2012

Monetization of a Firm’s Patent Rights: A Lawyer’s Perspective

Bloomberg BNA, BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal, 85 PTCJ 22

November 1, 2012

Global Patent Litigation: Mapping Your Strategy

Practical Law Company IP & Technology Magazine

October 10, 2012

Injunctions for FRAND-Licensed Patents: Debate Continues

Law 360, Competition Law360, Appellate Law360, New York

September 1, 2011

Implications of Post-Seagate Cases Finding Willful Infringement

NYIPLA Bulletin, August/September, 2011

January 13, 2011

CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (available only in Japanese)

Nikkei IP Awareness (published in Japanese only)

December 1, 2010

Federal Courts Closely Scrutinizing and Slashing Patent Damage Awards

2010 Patently-O Patent L.J. 24

May 26, 2010

The Impact of American Needle on IP And Contracts

IP Law360

April 26, 2010

Increase of False Patent Making Litigation (available only in Japanese)

Nikkei BP Chizai Awareness (published in Japanese)

March 31, 2010

Update to Recent Patent Damages Article

Patently-O Guest Blog, Guest Post

October 15, 2008

Transferring Cases out of Eastern Dist. of Texas

IP Law360

July 10, 2008

High Court Sets Patent Exhaustion Law Back on Track

IP Law360

January 16, 2008

Will The High Court Clarify The Exhaustion Doctrine?

IP Law 360

May 14, 2007

In re Seagate Technology: Wilfulness and Waiver, a Summary and a Proposal

Patently-O Patent L.J. 1